

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [info@sizewell.co.uk](mailto:info@sizewell.co.uk); [SizewellC](mailto:SizewellC); [therese.coffey.mp@parliament.uk](mailto:therese.coffey.mp@parliament.uk); [c/omark.ash@suggolk.gov.uk](mailto:c/omark.ash@suggolk.gov.uk); [sizewellc@eastsoffolk.gov.uk](mailto:sizewellc@eastsoffolk.gov.uk); [info@teags.org](mailto:info@teags.org)  
**Subject:** Response to Stage 4 Consultation  
**Date:** 25 September 2019 16:42:03  
**Attachments:** [Stage 4.doc](#)

---

I cannot help wondering whether there is any point in responding what I suspect is simply a PR exercise by EDF since they have clearly not listened to any of the concerns raised in the previous 3 stages. For example, they have dropped the marine-led transport strategy resulting in a dramatic increase in road traffic yet refuse to consider the D2/W route ... they refuse to change their plan for a 2,400 bed multi storey campus at Eastbridge .... there is no guarantee that compensatory habitats will be in place before construction ... EDF has not conducted vital studies on healthcare and locals' access to emergency services ... EDF did not bother to come to some of the most affected communities (including mine) at this stage to explain why it had ignored so many of our concerns.

But for the record, attached is my response to Stage 4 Consultation.

Deborah Bragg

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

## Personal Picture

I live [REDACTED] on the [REDACTED]. If Sizewell C and D go ahead my life will be blighted by the vast increase in traffic on this country road. At peak times up to 700HGVs, 700 LGVs, 650 buses and thousands of power station-related cars will pass my front door. The impact of this will be excessive noise, pollution and vibration. Studies have shown that those who live near traffic-heavy roads suffer from increased risks of cancer and strokes – so why are my health and human rights not taken into consideration? EDF have proposed a link road parallel to the B1122, potentially operating 24/7, but it requires substantial embankments, cuttings, road and footpath closures and, once the power station is built, would probably be dug up since it would serve no further purpose. Even if this road went ahead, it would not be built in the early years. Sizewell C and D need a proper, low impact relief road similar to D2 or EDF's route W built *before* construction starts. But it seems EDF have opposed such routes out of hand.

## Wider Picture

The pros vastly outweigh the cons. One argument put forward in favour is employment but EDF openly admit that the majority of the workforce will be transferred from Hinkley Point; 64% of the workforce will *not* be local. Not only does Suffolk have one of the lowest unemployment rates in the country, it is likely that jobs would be *lost* in an area that is worth over £200m in annual tourism. Tourists have traditionally visited Suffolk for its peace, tranquillity and Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. They will be driven away by eyesores such as 10 storey-high spoil heaps, giant pylons, the heavy traffic and the damage to Sites of Special Scientific Interest and the internationally famous Minster Reserve.

The project is simply far too big for the site. Government advises that a single new nuclear power station should occupy 30 hectares yet Sizewell C and D will be squeezed into 32 hectares and this is only possible if B is relocated, resulting in further damage to the AONB. Hinkley occupies 45 hectares.

Today we learned that Hinkley is not only behind schedule (2017 now delayed to 2025 at the earliest) but several billion over budget. EDF claim that shareholders rather than taxpayers will be footing the bill – but they have already suggested that all UK energy customers pay £6 a year to help finance their Sizewell project. And this for a design and build that is not proven either in the UK or France!

EDF claims that Sizewell C and D can help the government's net zero target by 2050. But bearing in mind the delays at Flamanville (three times over budget and years behind schedule with many safety issues) and Hinkley it seems more likely that by the time the power station was actually up and running, renewables will be cheaper and more carbon neutral than nuclear. So there is a very real danger that the Suffolk countryside will be desecrated for ever – to say nothing of spent fuel kept on site until at least 2135 with no long term nuclear waste facility – for a redundant power station.

Deborah Bragg  
[REDACTED]

**From:** SizewellC  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** SizewellC  
**Subject:** RE: Response to Stage 4 Consultation  
**Date:** 14 November 2019 12:41:34

---

Dear Deborah Bragg

## **Planning Act 2008 (as amended)**

### **Proposed application by NNB Nuclear Generation (SZC) Limited (EDF Energy2) for an Order Granting Development Consent for a new nuclear power station development at Sizewell in Suffolk (Sizewell C)**

I am writing regarding your correspondence sent to the Planning Inspectorate (the Inspectorate) dated 25 September 2019 in relation to the above proposals.

The proposed application is at the Pre-application stage of the Planning Act 2008 process. Further information about the process can be found in the link below to the National Infrastructure Planning website: <https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/application-process/the-process/>. The Applicant is expecting to submit the application in Q1 2020.

As the application has not yet been formally submitted to the Inspectorate your first point of contact should be the Applicant and we would encourage you to contact them directly by email to - [Sizewell@edfconsultation.info](mailto:Sizewell@edfconsultation.info). It is important that the Applicant is made aware of your comments at the Pre-application stage of the process to enable them to consider these points before finalising their proposals and submitting the application to the Inspectorate. Please note the Applicant's Stage 4 Consultation closed on 27 September 2019.

The Inspectorate is unable to consider your comments at this time however please note that, should the application be received and accepted by the Inspectorate for Examination, the National Infrastructure Planning website will be updated accordingly and you may submit comments to us at that time:

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/eastern/sizewell-c-new-nuclear-power-station/>

If you have concerns about the Applicant's pre-application consultation you should contact the Applicant in the first instance to enable them to address the issues ([info@sizewellc.co.uk](mailto:info@sizewellc.co.uk)).

If you have contacted the Applicant but you are not satisfied that the Applicant has, or will, take account of your comments you can make your comments to the relevant local authority. The local authority can consider them as part of their Adequacy of Consultation Representation submission to the Planning Inspectorate (on behalf of the Secretary of State) at the application stage of the process. Further information about Community Consultation can be found here:

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/General/General-Advice-00632-1-170702%20s47%20Community%20Consultation%20FAQ.pdf>

The Planning Inspectorate has published a series of Advice Notes about the Planning Act 2008 process. 'Advice Note Eight: Overview of the nationally significant infrastructure planning process for members of the public and others' can be found here: <https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/legislation-and-advice/advice-notes/>

I hope you find this information to be helpful.

Yours sincerely

Dean Alford  
Case Officer  
National Infrastructure Planning  
The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, Temple Quay, Bristol BS1  
6PN  
Helpline: 0303 444 5000

Web: <https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/> (National Infrastructure Planning)

Web: [www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate](http://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate) (The Planning Inspectorate)

Twitter: [@PINSgov](https://twitter.com/PINSgov)

This communication does not constitute legal advice.  
Please view our [Privacy Notice](#) before sending information to the Planning Inspectorate.

---